

John N. Gardner, President Betsy O. Barefoot, Vice President Betsy Q. Griffin, Senior Associate John N. Gardner Institute for Excellence in Undergraduate Education Annual Meeting of the Higher Learning Commission April 11, 2010

Why Move Beyond Retention?

- Revive the conversation
- Engage the faculty
- Provide antidote to "retention fatigue"
- Move from a program approach
- Improve the efficiency of operation



 A focus on all beginning students— first year and transfer

- Aspirational standards
- Assessment process
- Comprehensive action plan
- Implementation of the plan



Foundations of Excellence[®]

Institutional process

- Is based on aspirational standards for the first year and transfer experience
- Uses task-force-based assessment
- Produces a plan for educational improvement

Foundations of Excellence[®] Participants

- 167 colleges and universities of all sizes and types
 - 111 four-year institutions
 - 56 two-year institutions
- 77 HLC institutions
 19 AQIP
 58 PEAQ







- *The problem:* Approaches to the new student experience that have no underlying philosophical base
- Why this Dimension is important: The experience of new students should be crafted with a sense of purpose.
- Performance Indicators:
 - Whether the campus has a philosophy/rationale
 - Whether it has an influence on policy/practice
 - Whether it is disseminated



- The problem: No one in charge; inefficient and confusing "silos"
- *Why this Dimension is important:* Organization is essential to the realization of purpose.
- Performance Indicators:
 - Existing organizational structure(s); evaluation
 - Level of funding
 - Whether structure provides an integrated approach
 - Role of structure in faculty/staff development

Learning

- *The problem:* Inadequate attention to learning
- Why this Dimension is important: Learning is the primary purpose of going to college.
- Performance Indicators
 - The existence of learning outcomes
 - Use of effective pedagogies in high-enrollment courses
 - Measures of out-of-class learning
 - Appropriate course placement



- *The problem:* Uneven attention to elements of successful transition
- *Why this Dimension is important:* The success of the initial transition predicts future collegiate success.
- Performance Indicators:
 - The quality of your communication to students
 - The quality of communication to support networks
 - Helping students establish connections
 - Academic advising

Faculty/Campus Culture

- *The problem:* Need for more faculty/staff involvement with new students
- *Why this Dimension is important:* Without involvement of faculty and staff, initiatives for new students are difficult to launch and sustain.
- Performance Indicators:
 - Campuswide focus on new students
 - Expectations at the point of faculty and staff hire
 - Responsibility for student retention



- The problem: Many initiatives reach only certain students; others do not reach populations with special needs.
- Why this Dimension is important: All new students have developmental needs that should be addressed.
- Performance Indicators:
 - What you know about the needs of particular students
 - What you do to meet those needs
 - How well you meet the needs of *all* students



- *The problem:* Institutions vary in the degree to which they successfully address diversity issues.
- Why this Dimension is important: Education about human difference is an important component of the beginning college experience.
- Performance Indicators:
 - Students exposure to diverse ideas
 - Students exposure to diverse people
 - Whether the institution conveys "standards" for behavior in a civil an open environment

Roles & Purposes

- *The problem:* Students' narrow view of the purpose of higher education
- Why this Dimension is important: The beginning college experience is the time for exploration of roles and purposes.
- *Performance Indicators:*
 - How well you communicate the institution's notion of purpose
 - Whether you provide students the opportunity to explore their motivation for higher education
 - How well you communicate the institution's rationale for its requirements – courses, skills, competencies



- The problem: Too few initiatives are subjected to rigorous assessment. Institutional isolation – lack of exposure to others
- *Why this Dimension is important:* Improvement is vital to sustainability and effectiveness.
- *Performance Indicators:*
 - The practice of assessment
 - The use of assessment for improvement
 - Other strategies for improvement

Task Force Assessment

The Engine of the Process...

<u>Faculty</u>

Student Affairs

Assessment Professionals



Academic Affairs

Others who interact with new students

Students



The Process: Step 1

- Current Practices Inventory
 - Beginning audit of programs, policies, and previous assessments:
 - To educate the task force and ultimately the college community
 - To gather essential information for use in the evaluation process



The Process: Step 2

- Evaluation of institutional performance on performance indicators linked to each Dimension
 - Evaluation conducted by campus task force over 9 to 12 months
 - Evaluation aided by survey data from student and faculty/staff surveys



The Process: Step 3

 Development of Action Plan for Campus Improvement

Includes

- What campus is doing well and doesn't want to change
- Areas for change based on self study



 Expansion of the conversation on retention

Institution-wide focus

Potential use in reaccreditation

Outcomes



Contact Information

John Gardner, President

gardner@fyfoundations.org

Betsy Barefoot, Vice President & Senior Scholar

barefoot@fyfoundations.org

Betsy Griffin, Senior Associate Vice President

griffin@fyfoundations.org

John N. Gardner Institute for Excellence in Undergraduate Education

www.fyfoundations.org

www.jngi.org